

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/939,162	08/24/2001	Trishul M. Chilimbi	50037.60US01	4117
7:	590 01/26/2005		EXAMINER	
John S. Jardine			TANG, KUO LIANG J	
Merchant & Go	ould P.C.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O.Box 2903			AKTONII	TATER NOMBER
Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903			2122	
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/939,162	CHILIMBI, TRISHUL M.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kuo-Liang J Tang	2122	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. THE REPLY FILED Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) objected to: . Claim(s) rejected: 1-26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

> WEI Y. ZHEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

10. Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

As for independent claims 1, 13 and 20, the Applicant primarily argues that Larus does not describe "ignoring non-repetitively occurring data access sequences" (see RE page 7, lines 17-18). Examiner's response:

The \_xamin\_r disagrees with Applicant's characterized the Larus prior art. In fact, Larus forcus on the hot subpaths (ab, bc, bb and ca) (See RE, page 7, lines 7-11). Which mean, Larus' teaching is facused on hot subpaths (repetitively occuring data access sequences). In other word, Larus ignores non hot subpaths (non-repetively occuring data access sequences). For example, .on page 260, Figure 2. the nineteen acyclic paths (14242424252525253) is processed using SEQUITUR algorithm and then the grammar (S->14AAACC3. A->24, B->25, C->BB) is produced. It is noted that the A(24), B(25), and C(BB) is used in the S grammar along with the first two acyclic paths (1 and 4) and the last acyclic path (3). These three acyclic paths (1, 4, 3) are non hot subpaths (non-repetitively occuring data access sequences) and therefore are ignored while analyzing the whole program paths. More examples can be found on page 262, section 3.2, "SEQUITUR Enhancement".